

OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL N.S.S. COLLEGE

PANDALAM

(Accredited with 'B⁺' Grade by NAAC) PATHANAMTHITTA (DIST) KERALA STATE

Ph: 04734252221

Feedback Policy

Feedback is one of the most important and powerful influences on learning. For the inclusive growth of an institution, an effective feedback system from all the various stake holders is essential.

NSS College Pandalam recognizes this system as a means to measure the degree of success in meeting the objective of the course. The college goes a long way towards ensuring to meet the needs of our students, parents and other stake holders. It is a means of understanding and analyzing how effective the measures adopted by the college are and the immediate changes that need to be incorporated.

Feedback Collection Process from the Stakeholders

To get an overall idea on the syllabi of various courses offered by the university, the college maintains an institutional level feedback system. Every year, feedback on curriculum is collected from final year students as they have an overall idea of the curriculum. Feedback was collected from different stakeholders like students, teachers, parents and alumni.

Feedback is collected from the parents, during PTA meetings; from alumni during alumni meet; from the outgoing students on the completion of their course, and from the teachers, about the effectiveness of the course and the curriculum and the matters related to that. The course content and its depth, coverage, applicability, learning value, clarity and relevance are all thus evaluated.

Feedback Analysis

The data collected by the IQAC has been sorted and consolidated for drafting the analysis report. The analysis is done year wise as well as parameter wise. The aspects pointed out by all the

stakeholders are considered with special care and attention. The teachers discuss and evaluate the suggestions received from different spheres regarding the curriculum.

Follow Up

The suggestions are consolidated to communicate to the Board of Studies through the teachers who are members of various Boards of Studies and Syllabus Revision Committees, and those who participated in the Syllabus Revision Workshops conducted by the University.

N.S.S. COLLEGE, PANDALAM PATHANAMTHITTA




INSTITUTION EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Department		Programme (UG/PG)						
Semester		Year						
Rate on a five po	oint scale as shown below, indicate your rating by givi	experiences in the institution are given below. your assessment of the performance of the ing appropriate number $(0-4)$ against each						
0-Very low, 1- Below Average, 2- Average, 3-Above Average, 4- Very high								
General Academic	Atmosphere							
Library facilities								
Laboratory facilities	es							
Opportunities in th	e Physical Education Departi	ment						
Participation of stu	dents in college union activit	ties						
•	ching aids like OHP, DLP, in the department							
Teacher-Student re	elationship							
Helpfulness of Lab	o/Lib/Staff							
Opinion regarding	the functioning of college of	ffice						
Chance for doing p women's issue, etc	project works relating to environ in the department	ironment,						
Your chances of ge in the same disciple	etting admission to higher stu ine	udies						
Conduct of invited	lectures/seminars, etc. in the	e department						

Your opinion regarding the overall performance of the department	
How much would you recommend this institution to other students	
Any other remarks:	

Parameters	4	3	2	1	0	
General Academic Atmosphere	27	36	18	7	12	
Library facilities	21	33	29	10	7	
Laboratory facilities	28	42	16	7	7	
Opportunities in the Physical Education	32	39	8	10	11	
Department					11	
Participation of students in college union	27	61	2	7	3	
activities	27	01	2	,		
Availability of teaching aids like OHP,						
DLP, LCD projector, etc in the	4	57	23	9	7	
department						
Teacher-Student relationship	22	43	17	4	14	
Opinion regarding the functioning of	5	26	31	31	7	
college office					,	
Chance for doing project works relating						
to environment, women's issue, etc in the	10	48	29	5	8	
department						
Your chances of getting admission to	10	45	25	6	14	
higher studies in the same discipline		45	23		1	
Conduct of invited lectures/seminars, etc.	19	53	19	4	5	
in the College		33	13	_		
Your opinion regarding the overall	21	39	19	9	12	
performance of the College		33	13		12	
How much would you recommend this	14	59	11	6	10	
institution to other students						



N.S.S. COLLEGE, PANDALAM



TEACHER EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Department Indicate your ra	ting by giving	Program appropriat e		(UG/PG) 4) against eac	ch of the	Semester_ following	 items fo	r evalua	Y ear ting vou	r teacher	•
	0-Poor,			2- Average, 3-Good,			xcellent		2 30001101		
Attributes		Teacher code/Name of teacher									
Communication Skills (in terms											
articulation & comprehensibility											
Interest generated by the teacher											
Ability to integrate course mater											
environment or other relevant is	sues to										
provide a broader perspective											
Ability to integrate course/draw	upon										
other courses											
Accessibility of the teacher in ar											
class (includes availability of the teacher to											
motivate ouside class discussion	/										
Ability to design projects/assign											
examinations/quizzes to test und	erstanding										
of the course											
Provision of sufficient timely fee	edback										
Knowledge base of the teacher											
(as perceived by you)											
Sincerity/Commitment of the tea	ncher										
Overall rating											
Any other remarks:											

Sl.No	Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Communication Skills (in terms or articulation & comprehensibility)	32	40	16	7	5
2.	Interest generated by the teacher	16	45	15	13	11
3.	Ability to integrate course material with environment or other relevant issues to provide a broader perspective	11	44	21	11	13
4.	Ability to integrate course/draw upon other courses	8	40	22	15	15
5.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate ouside class discussion)	22	64	5	6	3
6.	Ability to design projects/assignments/ examinations/quizzes to test understanding of the course	11	53	20	2	14
7.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	12	52	5	15	16
8.	Knowledge base of the teacher	14	77	4	4	1
9.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	11	42	35	8	4
10.	Overall rating	16	48	19	15	2

